SECRET **NRO REVIEW COMPLETED**

COR - 0796

31 March 1960

25X1

25X1

MEMORARIUM FOR : Deputy Director (Plans)

THROUGH

Acting Chief, TED

BUBJECT

1. The following is information relevant to our forthcoming discussion 2. The Air Force is firming up in support of a CCEONA 1961 program of on the above subject.

et lesst six new CORORA shots (in addition to any spillovers).

3. The lead time required to build a modified "C" prime camera is no

4. Our experience with Mastesn, ITEK and Fairchild tells us that only of them should be given the whole tob of designing building and teating less than 11 - 12 months.

one of them should be given the whole job of designing, building and testing | might the camera to be used.

be used to criticise designs, for security reasons it is not desirable to be used to criticise designs, for security reasons in any designs, before a fourth order as the removes of the security reasons. be used to criticise designs, for security reasons it is not desirable to bring a fourth organisation in for this purpose, or to engage in any design

6. All concerned agree that changes made in the "C" camera should be "minor" changes directed at content reliability and capability. Attached is a definition by competition.

7. There are four alternative courses to be followed in developing the a definition by

1961 camera towards reliability and greater capability:

I know of no support for this course of action. All feel that a. Cerry on with the C Camera:

improvements are possible.

b. Adopt the ITEK "C" Design with modifications: LASD supports this course of action from both the standpoints of lead time requirements and quality of design. He supports from the lead time standpoints. lesd time standpoint.

| Demo (attached) indicates the modifications that might be needed. | This is the wisest course our modifications that might be needed. approval should be subject to a further item by item evaluation of the advantages to be gained through each change, such evaluation to be made nossibly by an independent ormin. lead time standpoint. SECRET 6 Copy of 6 Copies

be made possibly by an independent group.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/09/03: CIA-RDP63-00313A000600140073-1

COR - 0796 Page 2

c. Give ITEK go-ahead (to avoid loss of lead time) and simultaneously	
ask for proposals from Eastman and Fairchild:	NRO
IMSD tells that approximately will be needed to finance ITMK for the first month, 15 April - 15 May. Restman and Fairchild can prepare and submit their proposals by 22 April. A selection from among the three can be made before 15 May.	25)
d. Give ITEK go-ahead (as in (b) above) and obtain design proposals from Eastman and Fairchild for a "back-up" camera for late 1961 and 196	<u>s</u> :
If ITEK is given go-ahead on "C" camera all of our SAMOS (E-5) and CORONA recoverable camera eggs will be in one basket. At least feels that a back up camera from another source should be available against disaster contingencies, corporate or otherwise.	

SIGNED Chief, Development Branch DPD-DD/P

25X1

25X1

Attachment:

As noted

25X1

25X1

CH/DB/DPD-DD/P:

Distribution:

Copy 1 - Addressee w/att.

2 - AC/DPD w/o att.

3 - DB/DPD w/o att.

4-5 - CH/DB/DPD w/att.

6 - RI/DPD w/o att.

6 Copy of 6 Copies

SECRET